1	TOWN OF CHESTER
2	PLANNING COMMISSION
3	February 21, 2022, Minutes
4	Commission Members Present: Peter Hudkins, Hugh Quinn, and Cathy Hasbrouck at Town Hall.
5	Staff Present: Susan Bailey, Secretary, via Zoom.
6 7	<b>Citizens Present:</b> Steve Mancuso, Emily Beagle, Noel Corbett, and Arne Jonynas via Zoom. Bill Lindsay and Lillian Willis at Town Hall.
8	Call to Order
9	Chair Cathy Hasbrouck called the meeting to order at 6:30 p.m. (1:05 on recording)
10	Agenda Item 1, Review Minutes from the February 7, 2022, Meeting
11 12 13 14 15	Cathy asked for a motion to review the minutes of February 7, 2022. Peter moved and Hugh seconded. Cathy noted that on page 6, line 35, there was discussion about the Olive Branch Lodge/Masonic Lodge and the minutes said the Elks Club. A vote was taken, and the minutes were unanimously approved, as amended. Cathy added that Amie O'Brien was off last week and that's why the minutes weren't published.
16	Agenda Item 2, Citizens Comments
17	(3:34 on recording) Cathy asked for citizens comments for anything not on the agenda.
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Steve Mancuso said the commission was doing a lot of business and should be applauded, and he thanked them. There was a lot going on and falling on their shoulders. He didn't know what to tell them regarding cannabis. The greenhouse on Route 11 is being sold and marketed as a place to grow it. He said it was getting kind of crazy but was sure they could do a good job. He wanted to make good about the bike racks. He was concerned about too many bike racks around town. It is something they should consider weeding out because it doesn't fit well for Chester. It was one example of 300 pages of extraneous ado. He was sure they could come to terms with it. He thanked them for having citizens comments and letting citizens, like himself, carry on.
26 27 28 29	Agenda Item 3, Review Proposed Village Green Zoning District bylaw amendment text with recent proposed amendments from 2/7/22 meeting and further suggestions from Tim Roper.
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37	Cathy said they would review the proposed Village Green Zoning District bylaw amendment text with recent proposed amendments that had been collected over the past two weeks. Tim Roper was in Florida on family business. Preston and Cathy had him come in before he left to go over a few things. Cathy sent out a copy of the bylaws with Tim's stuff that Cathy, Preston, and Tim had worked out in blue. She also had information she passed out to the two board members present with suggestions from Hugh for modifications and a short discussion with Jason Rasmussen about dimensional standards and setbacks in the one district. She had a list of things they could mention, and they had things to follow along with.
38 39 40	Peter said Tim's were marked and asked what Hugh's were. Hugh said his were simple and he saw one was something Jason may have brought up about the parking section, E.4.a, to move the word space before. Cathy said it was a typo. It should have read "one off-street parking space, either

- 1 public or private."
- 2 Hugh said the second one was regarding the accessory use definition which, as currently proposed,
- 3 didn't include other things that were part of the other zoning districts. Cathy said they were
- 4 intentionally left off. She said Jason said the last sentence about accessory use had included home
- 5 occupation and other uses that are considered accessory uses, so they removed them. She thought
- 6 they decided the list wasn't exhaustive or complete. They decided to say group home because they
- 7 wanted to be sure that people understood it was required by the state. Lots of people would like it
- 8 not to be there but it needs to be.
- 9 Hugh said he remembered the discussion and it made sense. He said any of the other districts said
- accessory use and then as an example, in parenthesis, home occupation and the other things. It
- referenced it in the district specifications but wasn't included in the definition. He wasn't sure it
- was a problem but wondered. Cathy thought it seemed random what they mentioned or didn't
- mention. Hugh said when they looked at several zones, they were consistent. In every zone of the
- 14 currently adopted bylaws, under accessory use, it called out home occupation, home childcare
- facility, but didn't mention group home. Hugh said he didn't have much passion around it, but the
- definition, as written, only referenced the group home but then all the other districts called out an
- accessory use as home occupation, and it was inconsistent. He thought if they wanted to make it
- consistent and all encompassing, the definition of accessory use would include those other things
- so when you were looking at one of the other zones, it would tie off.
- 20 Peter referenced what Hugh had said earlier that if it had to be everywhere, they shouldn't say it
- 21 at all because it's what bothers people the most because it's required by the state. Peter suggested
- striking it from new accessory use. Hugh thought that made sense. Peter said Hugh thought before
- about things allowed everywhere and the state says they must be allowed. It gets everyone feisty
- but it's a state mandate, so Peter questioned why not just take it out? Hugh said he may be okay
- with it. Peter reminded him it was his rationale before so he hoped he would be okay with it. Hugh
- said if they looked at the other zones, would they then remove what's in parenthesis or leave it in?
- 27 Peter said he would take it out. Hugh asked if he went to another zone and home occupation wasn't
- a permitted use, but Cathy said home occupation was always a permitted use.
- Hugh said basically, group home, home occupation, and family childcare are pretty much allowed
- 30 everywhere by state statute. As a result, he would suggest removing group home from the
- 31 definition and ultimately take the stuff in parenthesis out from the other zones. Peter agreed. Hugh
- 32 thought they should just remove group home from the definition for this. Peter agreed. Cathy was
- concerned it was consciously done by Jason and thought they needed to let go of the idea that it
- had to be perfect when they did the transmission copy. She was in favor of letting it go this way
- and getting the townspeople's reaction and consulting with Jason about it. She wasn't sure about
- 36 the recollection of what happened in December.
- Peter said when they met at the Pinnacle with the Stone Village people, 20 minutes of the
- discussion was that they didn't want to have group homes, which they had no call on at all. He
- said there was no reason to have people say they don't want group homes when it wasn't a choice
- 40 they have. Hugh agreed. Hugh was trying to recall Jason's rationale for wanting to include it. To
- Peter's point, if it's required by state statute and you call it out, all it does is shine a light on
- something that would be contentious. Cathy agreed. Hugh's point was as a local planning board,
- 43 they have no say where a group home goes. Peter compared it to cannabis and said they could list
- cannabis as an accessory use and then it would just aggravate everyone. Cathy confirmed he was

- 1 proposing they strike the entire last sentence of the accessory use definition as it was only there
- 2 because it talked about group homes. Peter asked if they changed the definition of group homes in
- 3 the section which is what it was saying. Cathy wasn't sure they could change it. Cathy wasn't sure
- 4 if they meant Section 8, where the definitions are or Article 2, where the zoning districts are.
- 5 Hugh said one thing on the table was to strike the last sentence or just refer to Article 2. Hugh said
- 6 Article 2 was all the different zoning districts. In parenthesis it said home occupation and family
- 7 childcare home. Peter added that forestry and agriculture had to be in there. Cathy said forestry
- 8 and agriculture had been removed. Hugh asked if forestry and agriculture would be considered
- 9 accessories and Cathy said they were uses. Hugh said when you thought about accessory use and
- 10 considered the definition, it was a portion of the thing, so they were saying if you have a home
- occupation, residential care, a group home, or family childcare, those are the things that constitute
- accessory use in any district in Chester. So, they either needed to be specific and name those things
- so people understood what accessory use is or leave the definition open and know that state statute
- will allow those things.
- 15 Peter thought maybe that was the better answer and suggested saying "for the purpose of permitted
- uses listed in Article 2 or state statute." Cathy agreed. By saying, "or state statute," they were
- 17 covered. Peter added nobody wanted to have a group home next to them, but the state allows it.
- 18 Cathy asked if they needed a motion but they all agreed.
- 19 Hugh said they created the open market definition for Village Green and if they replace open-air
- 20 market with open market, they need to fix the residential/commercial district because it references
- 21 open-air market. Cathy said on the same document she gave them, a little further down, it
- referenced, in yellow, a discussion about accessory use. She looked at the two. Open market was
- 23 in the proposed and in the proposal for this district, they have open market, and indoor or outdoor
- 24 market where groups of individual vendors sell produce, food, services, art, or other merchandise.
- 25 In the adopted bylaws, they have open-air market: an outdoor public marketplace where food or
- merchandise are sold. And that is the use that's referred to in the residential/commercial district.
- 27 The proposed one was saying it was indoor or outdoor. The other issue was it said groups of
- 28 individual vendors. The open-air market didn't even mention vendors or humans, it just said things
- are sold. She wondered if it could be machines selling merchandise.
- Hugh said the reason he brought it up was one proposal was to only have a single definition. He
- 31 wondered if one definition called open market could apply to both the proposed Village Green and
- work in the residential/commercial district. If the answer was yes, then all that was necessary was
- to go in and tweak the wording in the residential/commercial district and remove the reference to
- open-air market. And if they didn't want to do that, they would need to maintain two definitions,
- 35 one for open market and one for open-air market until they were able to address
- residential/commercial and figure out what to do. It made no difference to him.
- Cathy had a lot of thoughts about it. One thing that bugged her was it was a use, but pretty much
- an ad hoc use and you would likely be doing it on a parcel that already had uses assigned to it and
- 39 it was temporary. It isn't likely Chester would have an open market that ran 365 days a year. She
- 40 found the indoor versus the outdoor thing confusing, as well as mentioning or not mentioning
- vendors. She thought it made sense to put it into the administrative pile of changes that would be
- 42 coming as soon as it got launched.
- 43 Peter mentioned Stone House Antiques where space can be rented, already making someone an

- 1 individual vendor. Cathy agreed and said it had a permit for retail space. Peter said the
- 2 indoor/outdoor thing happening was covered under a retail permit but was doing an open market.
- Noel Corbett, a new owner of the former Free Range, said one of the reasons her sister and she
- had joined the meeting was to understand the open-air market. In the summer, the Artisan Alley was popped up with tents and things on a recurring basis on the weekends and they wanted to
- 6 understand if it fell under this jurisdiction and what it would mean for them for the upcoming
- season. Cathy said Artisan Alley didn't have a permit from the DRB or the zoning administrator
- that she knew of. Peter said originally, they took it to the Selectboard because they had talked
- 9 about having it on The Green and the selectmen said they couldn't have it there, so they decided
- about having it on the Green and the selection said they couldn't have it there, so they decided
- to have it in the alley. Peter said what they were trying to do was allow it to be on The Green and it was a possibility but still up to the selectboard because it's town property. Noel asked if they
- it was a possibility but still up to the selectboard because it's town property. Noel asked if they needed a permit to operate it on their property. Cathy said, currently, they couldn't give them a
- heeded a permit to operate it on their property. Carry said, currently, they couldn't give them a
- permit because it wasn't a permitted use. This change would make that possible. Cathy said she
- wasn't sure where the property line was in the alley, but they could sort it out. They were trying to
- make it possible because they thought it was a good idea. Noel said she would follow more closely.
- She asked about the draft bylaws she got online from December 2, 2021, and thought it sounded
- 17 like they were talking about a different document. Peter said they didn't get them posted. Cathy
- said the packet was up and if she went to the Planning Commission web page and scrolled down
- past the subcommittee minutes and packets to the Planning Commission minutes and packet, there
- should be a copy. Noel said she would track it down.
- 21 Hugh liked the new definition of open market. Cathy wasn't sure about it. She asked Hugh why
- he liked it. He said because one of the discussions that came up was food and merchandise might
- be too specific. They wanted to expand the definition to reflect the reality of what you might find
- 24 at these markets. They also said they didn't want to have it just to have to be outside. He
- 25 remembered they tweaked the definition to be flexible for indoor and outdoor and different kinds
- of services, not just food and merchandise. For that reason, he recommended they use the definition
- for residential/commercial, as well.
- 28 Cathy said she could change residential/commercial to say open market instead of open-air market.
- 29 Peter and Hugh agreed. Peter said if air was deleted, it would allow it to happen and eliminate
- 30 confusing redundancy.
- 31 Cathy said they had worked it out that landscaping and screen was required between residential
- 32 uses and commercial uses. It wouldn't happen on The Green unless someone bought a property
- and turned into a private home. It had almost happened with the building at one end of the
- bookstore. The compromise was they could require landscaping to screen it where it was practical,
- because in some places, it wasn't practical. On 4.d she had copied some bylaw code from 3.20, the
- off-street parking and didn't copy it correctly so there was a correction. Those were the two things
- 37 Tim had talked about.
- 38 Cathy said Barre was excited about the dimensional standard issue. She gave them a copy of an
- email conversation with Jason Rasmussen about what could they do about it. Jason suggested they
- 40 could drop the requirement, keep it as originally coded with zero as a minimum front yard setback
- and 16 as a maximum, and the Development Review Board could still make changes to that
- 42 standard where appropriate to match the surrounding parcels. The issue was most of the parcels
- had six inches between the edge of the pavement and the beginning of the building. That's how
- 44 things were built 160 years ago. The exceptions were St. Luke's Church, the Inn Victoria, and to

- some extent, the house on the corner of Cobleigh and Main. Barre was concerned with a zero 1
- minimum; someone may want to build out Inn Victoria to the road and that would allow it. Jason 2
- said they would look at the character of development clause stipulating new buildings or 3
- 4 modifications of existing buildings shall be of a similar building mass and orientation as buildings
- in the district and shall not unduly detract from the existing character of the building. As far as 5
- Jason was concerned, it would take care of any problem. 6
- 7 The issue was should they drop the 16-foot maximum or leave it and say there were good. Hugh
- thought they should leave the zero and take out the 16, because those two properties would not be 8
- non-conforming and understanding if they needed to mitigate some kind of a risk where either the 9
- Inn Victoria or another property wanted to put an addition on the front of their building, either 10
- because it was covered under the fact there would be a DRB review, or it was covered under the 11
- character of development clause, and it would probably be fine. Hugh was still good with that 12
- 13 strategy. Peter and Cathy were also.

14

15

17

31

33

## Agenda Item 4, Set date for Village Green Zoning District bylaw amendment public hearing.

(36:15 on recording) Cathy asked for a motion to transmit the proposed amendment and send 16

- copies of the amendment to the nine surrounding towns, someone at the ACCD, and the Regional
- Planning Commission and they would schedule a public hearing. Peter moved that they certify the 18
- 19 minutes and what they adopted in the definitions as part of the Village Green District amendment
- to the Chester Unified Development Bylaws. Cathy clarified that he was saying they certify the 20
- 21 changes made today and accept them. Peter agreed. Hugh seconded the motion. A vote was taken,
- and the motion carried unanimously. 22
- 23 Peter wanted to know if they should pick a date for the meeting. Cathy moved to transmit the
- amendment to Chester Unified Development Bylaws to have a Village Green District and Peter 24
- 25 seconded. A vote was taken, and the motion carried unanimously.
- The earliest date they could schedule was March 21st, so that Cathy could send out notice to the 26
- newspapers on Friday who would publish the following Wednesday, March 2<sup>nd</sup>, and schedule 27
- hearing for March 21<sup>st</sup>. Hugh said he would Zoom into the meeting. 28
- Peter moved to have the public meeting on March 21<sup>st</sup> and Hugh seconded the motion. The motion 29
- carried unanimously. 30

## Agenda Item 5, Review Reporting Form and Citizen Summary documents.

32 Cathy said they had a reporting form, and a summary and Hugh had a proposed amendment to the

- summary. Hugh didn't understand why there were two summaries and Cathy said one summary
- 34 was intended to go with the reporting form to the ACCD, Regional Planning, and the abutting
- 35 towns. The other was less technical and down to Earth, which she thought would go out with the
- 36
- copy they post. Hugh had pointed out that light industry was a conditional use in the Village Center
- District now and Cathy had lost track of that fact. Light industry was not included in the Village 37
- 38 Green District, as proposed in the proposed bylaws. She thought they were breaking ground but
- by including it, they were honoring history. She was up for removing the sentence that referenced 39
- it. Some people had been startled by the thought of light industry on The Green. Hugh said they 40
- had a good rationale and Cathy agreed. Peter said it was a square footage issue and there was a 41
- limited number of things you could do there because of the square footage. Cathy added the rules 42
- regarding light industry were extensive. 43

- 1 Bill Lindsay asked if light industry would be included, and Cathy said it would. Peter added it had
- 2 been there before. Cathy thought there had been four permits issued over time for it.
- 3 Cathy said Preston and she had worked on the reporting form and Preston felt that the forms he's
- 4 known in the past were odd, difficult to read, and didn't make a lot of sense. They tried to be
- 5 realistic and down to Earth about it. Hugh had read through the reporting form, and it seemed fine
- and to Cathy's point, it seemed formulaic, and he asked Cathy where the form went. Cathy said it
- 7 had to accompany the copy of the bylaws, with the amendment included. She was going to include
- 8 the summary and it had to be sent, which could be done electronically, and have confirmation that
- 9 they read or opened the email. It had to go to the nine abutting towns, The Regional Planning
- 10 Commission, and the ACCD. Hugh had read through it and thought that anyone looking at it,
- would look at the document itself and the summary to figure out what their plans were.

Steve Mancuso asked Cathy what the ACCD was, and she replied it was the Agency of Commerce and Community Development.

## Agenda Item 6, Discuss Draft 2022 Project List and accompanying documentation of Housing Study Municipal Planning Grant.

(47:20 on recording) The last item on the agenda was to discuss the work plan/project list for the foreseeable future. They could discuss it now, but she suggested discussing it more when the full commission was in attendance. Between now and the March 21<sup>st</sup> hearing they would go over the justifications for the decisions they've made and visit the next group of changes they would like to put through the bylaws. They were calling the group administrative changes. They wanted to correct problems with subdivisions. There should be both a major and a minor subdivision, but the way the bylaw is coded, there is only major. It seemed to be an oversight, but someone should have said if there are fewer than five lots, it isn't necessary to go through two hearings, etc. Cathy said there were several corrections and changes they wanted to do. They would work on that and start looking at housing and the other village districts to see what they could do to make it easier to have more housing in the village. Once sorted out, they would figure out what districts are needed in the village and what will be following the water and sewer lines and help them figure out the next bunch of changes for whatever district they think they might want or need for housing in the village.

Bill Lindsay asked about the municipal planning grant for housing. He wondered if the study was just being done for the village. He was aware a grant had been applied for and there would be money for the town and some for the grant study. In doing that, he noticed any change to the grand list was not monumental. He said the impact of housing to the grand list should be considered. He went to the Listers' Office and got information for 4 or 5 of the housing projects, the value of the projects and what they had contributed to the grand list, as far as taxes. Cathy asked if he was talking about Pleasant Brook and the Senior Circle. He was. He brought up the years he served Chester in various ways and one of his great concerns was the grand list. He thought it was easy to spend money but quite difficult to increase value of the grand list. He said it would either come out of another property that produced tax revenue, or your bill for your house. He hoped in the discussions they didn't forget the impact of housing on the grand list. He's heard discussions about apartment renters paying a good percentage of the taxes. He thought there was some truth to that but there was also truth to the fact that if you looked at the individual tax bill on a house, converting a garage into housing would likely not increase that tax bill sufficiently to pay for the services of that house. What he was asking is they think of the grant as a broader scope and what the benefit

will be to the whole community, meaning residents in town and out of town. He thought they seemed to have a driving force by the state again telling them what they need and how to do it and here's a little money to study it. He said he pre-studied it by going to the Listers' Office and doing some homework which told him if he had a nice senior housing unit, he could add substantial amount of money to his grand list. Where if he developed three garages, the impact on the system of the needs of the town to provide services to those additional residents would not be as great as having a large senior housing project.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26 27

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38 39

40

41

42

43

44

45

Peter asked him if he was saying ADUs weren't as impactful as a senior housing unit. He asked Bill if he was saying it was better to have a complex of multiple housing instead of ADUs. Bill said yes to some respects. He said it didn't mean they shouldn't have it but if they were going to study it, understand the needs of the community. He thought a single mom with a job that didn't pay well also needed to have a home but the total impact on the grand list would be small. He said we need to look at the composite of housing the community needs to make it healthy and vibrant but shouldn't focus it on one area. He said there was a need for mature residents to have housing. He recently visited a housing project near Dartmouth that didn't have garages but had a multipurpose carport. He thought there were other ideas when they have studies and people needed to ask questions. He asked the commission how many places they had available to put up complex housing. He said there were areas that aren't too far from The Green that may be suitable. It would be up to the property owners, and he thought they may need to bring some of these ideas to the Selectboard to see if they were interested to get something of this nature going. He thought the best way to talk to the Selectboard was to tell them they would be able to buy another cruiser or fire hoses without a big impact.

Hugh thought what Bill was saying made perfect sense and there had been several discussions that there was no one solution to the problem. He said it needed to be a combination of a lot of things to help people find housing. Bill spoke to the town manager and one of his concerns was there are areas where they could use an extension of water and sewer. He wanted to be first in grabbing some of that money and not last. All the little things the town does are nice, but they don't have a big financial impact on the tax bill. Peter had started looking ahead and had a good layout of the water, but not the sewer. What is currently laid out in the ground is not digital and will require a lot of legwork to get it set up. Hugh remembered when Jeff said the mapping of the sewer system was antiquated. Hugh said they need to do a better job if they map it out. Peter said to make a timeline with the grant, he can figure out where it is, and Cathy can figure out the users and then they can get the basis for it. Every 250 feet you must have a manhole and it's about \$50,000. That price is within the last 3 years. It was also a matter of the pumping station. The current plant can take more residential users but also needs more water to keep mixing with it. He didn't know if anyone had ever done a complete study to determine if storm water was separated from it. Cathy said they were working on Flamstead Acres on separating the two. Peter said a lot of the problem with Flamstead is people pump their basement out into the sewer. Peter said in the early 90s, they were still doing sewer overflows into the river. You would set a manhole and if you were near a river, you would go four feet above the outlet and put that to the river because the stormwater that abutted it. It would just flood into the river when the sewer became overwhelmed. Unless you poke in every manhole, nobody wants to talk about it because of the state, it could still be there. Cathy knew they had a project that was going to deal with that part of town. She also had some maps that were stormwater separate which could help sort out.

Steve Mancuso said it was interesting they were talking about Chester's infrastructure, just

- opposed to doing business in it, and the effects on the grand list. He said if they wanted to be bored 1
- to tears, to invite him sometime for the electrical end of it. He had a lot of history with Green 2
- Mountain and its predecessor, CVPS, and things that were going on in Chester pursuant to the 3
- 4 electrical infrastructure. Cathy asked if he could talk to them about things they should consider for
- electricity. He said they were welcome to call him on the carpet anytime. He could give them a 5
- recent history. 6
- 7 She thought they focused on water and sewer because the town builds and maintains it. She asked
- what they would do if they thought something should be happening with the electric supply and 8
- who should they contact. Steve said there was a lot of conjecture about being self-serving with 9
- solar fields. That was a whole other kettle of fish. He said Chester has a World War II electrical 10
- system that wasn't cutting the mustard for everyone. Cathy said she and Steve should talk about 11
- it, as it wouldn't do a lot of good to have houses that couldn't get a decent power supply. 12
- Peter said one of the things when they started the DRB was there could be impact fees and they 13
- 14 have never done anything with them. The possibility of imposing impact fees on developers was
- one of the big selling points to implementing the DRB and he never heard anything about them. 15
- Peter wanted to pick up some education on that. Cathy asked if he wanted to know other towns 16
- that had impact fees and how they assessed them. Peter said the DRB is supposed to allow them, 17
- so yes. Hugh wanted an example of impact fees. Peter said you would go in front of the DRB, and 18
- if you were developing 10 lots on a class 3 dirt road, but the town would need to widen the road 19
- 20 and put it up to a spec would be an example.
- 21 Cathy said a subdivision of 30 houses brought 30 kids into the school system. Peter said it was the
- same thing because you would have to increase your sewer plant capacity. Cathy added or build 22
- another classroom. Peter said it was a big selling point for the DRB. Cathy wondered if Jason 23
- would be able to address it with housing. Peter thought it was a question they certainly should be 24
- 25 asking, and Hugh agreed.
- Hugh said while they were on the project list, another thing he read in the ACCD document that 26
- looked appealing, was in some cases, you can allow the Zoning Administrator to have a certain 27
- amount of latitude to make adjustment to things. He didn't think they called it a variance and it 28
- wasn't a waiver but may have been a variance process. He had read in some cases you can allow 29
- the Zoning Administrator to give some latitude in something insignificant and almost a no-brainer 30
- so that something which is a good idea can move forward. Cathy clarified that it was the Better 31
- Places document. 32
- Peter thought it was part of the plan review process that the DRB didn't want to do. Hugh said it 33
- was something else. Cathy thought Preston was clever in finding ways through the bylaws to get 34
- things done but it would be nice if he had more support from the bylaws. Hugh found what he was 35
- 36 looking for on page 24 and it said to consider limited deviations from certain zoning stages. The
- 37 way it read; bylaws can define certain standards that can be modified by the zoning administrator
- so that locally desirable development cannot be forbidden due to a minor inconsistency with 38
- adopted regulations, a deviation of up to ten percent from a setback requirement. Something that 39
- would allow the zoning administrator some wiggle room. It seemed like a thing they were making 40
- a recommendation about it. The Development Review Board Different might be granted the 41
- 42 authority to approve certain larger deviations based on criteria established in the bylaws. Both
- types of deviations would be different than a variance which are limited to strict hardship 43
- situations. It must be a hardship to get a variance, and this was suggesting considering a deviation 44

if it made sense to allow wiggle room. It was common sense to him. Peter recalled a situation with 1 a woman with a septic and a mobile home and 10 feet of the home was in the setback, and he 2 thought they should give her the 10 feet. Hugh agreed and said he thought that was the spirit of 3 4 what they were saying there. Peter thought deviation was a good word. Hugh thought the goal was to go through the list as a commission and agree to the right items in the right priority. Peter thought 5 a lot of it was hearing Preston build out his list because he is trying to deal with the bylaws and 6 here is what he would like to see better. Hugh agreed and said his input should go into it. Cathy 7 said she and Preston had discussed it and were trying to come up with a document and say these 8 are the things we could do and pick their priority and order but after a while it became clear you 9 couldn't vary it. Hugh said the value of doing that is if things are listed in a certain order and 10 someone wants to change the order, it's an order of precedence. Once everyone understands it 11 wasn't a random list of activities and was ordered in a certain sequence, everyone can be onboard 12 with it. He thought they should revisit the list when the rest of the commission was back. 13

- 14 Cathy added that she has listened to a few seminars discussing housing and what could be done. Chester is a small town, and they may find places to put water and sewer lines but were mostly 15 looking at existing housing to make sure they could be converted from large houses that 1 or 2 16 people live in to houses that a few people can live in without a lot of regulation getting in the way. 17 The state is clear they want people to live in town, so they aren't plowing three miles of road to 18 19 get to their house. They are also protecting the larger patches of woodland and forest. Cathy said they were hoping the housing study would provide some clarity about what is needed in Chester. 20 She said the housing chapter in the town plan from 2008 said there was no new need for housing. 21 Currently, a lot of people don't feel that way. The data in the town plan is 20 years old and needs 22 updating. They have fresher data than that. Hugh said what he had been hearing and reading about 23 was a couple of things, including not to have a requirement that you could only have a single-24 25 family house. Real estate is becoming more and more an investment vehicle and properties and homes are being purchased by corporations and LLCs. He didn't know whether it was good or 26 bad, but it was a trend happening because people aren't able to make the same amount of money 27 28 in other businesses, so they are latching onto real estate. There were similar examples throughout 29 the country. He thought they may be turning them into short-term rentals. Cathy said worse yet, they were renting them full-time to a family and were charging them all the expense of owning a 30 property plus their little profit. It raises housing costs even further. Hugh said those were the things 31 they would be digging into if they were to make any progress. 32
- 33 Cathy asked for any other comments.
- 34 Steve Mancuso said it was a productive meeting and to carry on.
- Noel Corbett had left a message for the board in chat before she left Zoom thanking them for
- 36 letting them join and said they were excited to be part of the Chester community.
- 37 Lillian Willis asked the commission to remember that people move to Chester because they want
- to be here and they like their properties the way they are. She doesn't care if the state wants her to
- 39 divide her house up, she thought you should have the option. She said one thing that would be
- 40 popular in town was a senior retirement community that was upscale. There weren't a lot of
- 41 choices. Not that she couldn't afford it, but she considers it as she shovels her driveway. She
- 42 thought it would be very successful.
- Cathy asked how many units she was thinking of. Lillian said you must go quite far away to find
- something very special, but this area is a wonderful place to be. With Okemo nearby there are a

- 1 lot of options. It was something to think about. Everything doesn't have to be packaged teeny
- 2 weeny. Cathy asked her if she could think of examples of places that may work in Chester, to let
- 3 her know. They could look at the services they provide and the space they need. Lillian knew a lot
- 4 of people who moved to Burlington and Hanover because they were looking for special places,
- 5 but Chester has a lot to offer.

6

## Agenda Item 7, Adjournment

- 7 Hugh moved to adjourn, and Peter seconded the motion. A vote was taken, and it passed
- 8 unanimously. The meeting was adjourned at 7:51 p.m.