1	TOWN OF CHESTER
2	PLANNING COMMISSION
3	July 5, 2022, Draft Minutes
4 5	Commission Members Present: Peter Hudkins, Cathy Hasbrouck, Barre Pinske, Tim Roper, and Hugh Quinn at Town Hall.
6 7	Staff Present: Preston Bristow, Zoning Administrator, at Town Hall; and Susan Bailey, Recording Secretary, via Zoom.
8	Citizens Present: Bill Lindsay at Town Hall; and Chester Telegraph via Zoom.
9	Call to Order
LO	Chair Hugh Quinn called the meeting to order at 6:30 p.m.
l1 l2 l3	Decisions Made: • None.
L4 L5	Action Items:
L6	 Hugh will reach out to Jason to get an update on the creation of a website.
L7 L8	• Tim will work on a second draft of the survey run it by Hugh and then forwarding it to Jason before their next meeting.
L9 20	• Cathy will write a press release for the August 1 st hearing for the Commission to review on July 18 th .
21 22 23	• Hugh to draft a summary of the short-term rental ordinance to the Selectboard for the Commission to review on August 1 st .
24 25	Agenda Item 1, Add or Delete Items on the Agenda, if necessary.
26 27 28	Hugh asked if there were any changes to the agenda. Tim asked if there would be an update from the last meeting about the discussion with Jason about the creation of a website. Hugh said he had not heard from Jason and would reach out to Jason and get an update.
29 30 31	Agenda Item 2, Review and Approve Minutes from June 20, 2022, meeting
32 33 34	Hugh moved to review and approve the June 20, 2022, meeting minutes and Cathy seconded the motion. Hugh asked if there were any comments or feedback on the minutes and there were no changes. A vote was taken, the motion carried, and the minutes were approved as written.
35 36	Agenda Item 3, Citizens Comments
37	g
38	Hugh asked for comments for items not on the agenda. There were none.
39 10 11	Agenda Item 4, 1st Bylaw Modernization Workshop status update
+1 12 13	Hugh had included it on the agenda so they could talk about and track a few things they had discussed at the last meeting. The first to discuss was the press release.

Barre revised the press release and sent it to Tim and Hugh who then did another revision and then Barre sent it out to The Vermont Journal. Tomorrow, he would send it to the The Chester Telegraph and The Eagle Times. He noticed the Rutland Herald was on the shelf in Smitty's, so he would also send it there. Barre noted that he thought he was blocked on all the Chester Facebook pages but wasn't sure. If he was blocked, he would forward it to someone to post. Tim volunteered to share it on Facebook. Barre asked if Tim had been blocked and Tim said he had been unblocked and welcomed back into the group(s).

Hugh wondered if the press release was posted on Facebook, should it be posted as information only with no comments or whether comments should be allowed on social media. Tim said comments could be shut off if a bruhaha developed. His inclination would be to leave it open for comments. He suggested leaving it open for comments to generate interest and if it got out of hand, whoever created the post could shut off comments. Barre didn't foresee the need happening.

 Preston added that the Vermont League has training sessions for various things. For communities that have a listserv or a Front Porch Forum, if there are negative comments, they tell public officials to not respond because it never goes anywhere good. Tim agreed. Preston suggested if the Commission received negative comments, just to leave them. Hugh was glad he mentioned it and thanked him. Barre asked if anyone was on Front Porch Forum anymore. Tim said he was a member but there was almost no activity.

Hugh thought it sounded like a good plan and if the comments got out of control, they could shut them off. If the thread became troublesome, he told them not to respond as Planning Commission members. He thanked Barre for taking care of the press release.

Hugh asked if everyone was good with their reading assignments and were clear about them. He would likely reach out to Jason and structure how Jason wanted to use the 2 hours and what it would look like. One of the things he imagined was they would try to surface some of the things in the relevant documents that may likely influence how the bylaws take shape. There was also the housing component. He was looking forward to it.

Hugh thought it would be great if Jason's group managed a project website, they could link to the town website and then people could view the work in progress and provide some feedback. He thought it was a clean way to keep it separate and when the project was done, they could decommission it.

Hugh asked if there was anything else and Barre questioned whether they would need charts, graphs and maps. Hugh didn't think they would yet. Tim thought since Jason was leading it, any charts, graphs, or maps, would come from him. Cathy thought they would try to have future land use up on a wall for the presentation. Hugh would contact Jason to see if there was anything else they needed to be ready, but he assumed Jason would lead it and they would participate. He would confirm. Cathy asked if they wanted more lister data and Hugh said they would discuss it more when they got to the short-term rental ordinance. He didn't think they would need it to prepare for the first workshop.

Agenda Item 5, Review Draft Community Feedback Survey

Hugh turned it over to Tim. Tim said the goal of the survey was to gain as much feedback from the residents and property owners and business community members as possible. The benefit was to help inform and guide their work in redefining the zoning districts and bylaws going forward. It was clear to him that, for the most part, they work in a vacuum with little public input. Their consistent feedback comes from personal conversations in their community circles supplemented by a few folks like Bill Lindsay, who are at nearly every meeting. It felt like it made a lot of sense to have as broad a set of Chester residents, property owners, and businesspeople as possible. The first draft was short. He referenced similar town-wide surveys and pulled from their recent discussions around the current housing challenges. His hope was they could review the draft at this meeting, discuss suggestions for additions, edits, or deletions that would help inform the town and promote a high completion rate that would be desirable. He was completely open to suggestions. There wondered if it made sense to ask what type of properties property owners owned, and the number and type of rental units. He toyed with it and if they got a 10% response rate, that would be good, but the data set around rental properties would be useless out of that small set and that's why they weren't included.

Hugh didn't think they should use the survey to gather the kind of data that would likely uncover anyway when they head down the short-term rental ordinance path. And if the short-term rental ordinance isn't enacted, some of those questions would be irrelevant so Hugh thought they should leave those questions out. He also thought it could prevent people from participating in the survey because it may be viewed as Big Brother. For those reasons, he wouldn't include them.

Tim pointed out the last 2 questions on the back of the second page were very similar to earlier questions. He wrote them differently and wanted to discuss which ones made more sense. It was around what would be more beneficial to the town and prioritizing the importance. Tim wondered rather than ranking them if it would be better to check off the top three. It may be easier and less frustrating, and he wanted to get as much feedback as possible.

Hugh said for him personally, a list of 10 or 15 things would be overwhelming in trying to order them. Peter thought as most 5 things. He suggested separating resident and commercial. He said you're a resident, renter, or second homeowner would be simple and would help to breakdown some of the answers later. He suggested a second question to give an idea of where someone lived. He said there's 100 miles of road in Chester, so it should be broken down geographically what people are looking for: the rural people think this is more important, and the village people think that's more important. You could use that in a database and separate out the questions and pull it apart. Hugh questioned if it would be broken down into rural versus village or something more granular than that. Peter suggested 3 districts: rural, within 2 miles of the village, and village. That would catch Marcs Drive, the beginning of Flamstead, Route 11, and south of Chester. There are people in that area that may consider them local, and some may consider them part of the village. He thought Marcs Drive was one of the bigger and nicer developments. It has town water but if the sewer stuff goes up, it would be a tough place to get sewer to. Peter said if it was run through a database, he could take each question and breakdown the answer. Peter said a lot of people in the rural districts think ATVs should be allowed to be used on the class 3 roads. Newport has an ordinance now where ATVs are allowed downtown and that is something that would not go at all.

Peter is looking at it as someone who manages a database where he gets to break certain questions out and apply them to the different districts. Hugh thought it made sense. He thought there should be a question asking if you live in the village, withing 2 miles of the village, or a rural area. Peter was looking at how it would be managed once they had the data to give them better answers. Hugh agreed but thought there needed to be a balance between what would make it easier for them to analyze and what would make it easier for the person to respond. Peter thought that was a simple question and there should be less than 5 per group.

Tim wasn't sure he agreed with it looking at the 2008 survey and there were several that have 10 or more items included. He heard what Peter was saying that it may be pertinent to know where someone was focused, lives, or does business in what part of the town. On the other hand, by not having the information, it was a little more generic and may serve their purposes better. People would have prejudices and from his perspective, they may want to know overall what the town in general thought regardless of where they lived because the work they were doing should be for the entire town and not for a specific group of people in a specific area.

Barre understood where Peter was coming from and thought if they had more ability to do more with the survey, it would pay to have the extra information. He didn't think they needed to fish it out so much because they were getting an overall view of what people were thinking. He didn't know what they were going to be able to do with that stuff anyway. It wasn't like they were a corporation that could change the flavor profile of potato chips because that was the survey they were getting back. He questioned what they were going to be able to do.

Peter said if it was a Monkey survey or similar, you answer the question, then steered to the next question. They take your answer and move it into a category where you would get more material you're looking for. As a farmer, he gets nailed with surveys all the time. It's the State of Vermont's favorite thing to do. If he's not answering one a week, it's hard to believe. He said some were foolish. But if it breaks it down so you're headed into a direction that you can manage the data, that gives you a better answer. Barre said the question was what sort of data management Peter saw them as being able to do with the survey and what would they be able to do with that data specifically besides getting a rough idea what people were thinking. Barre asked Peter if he thought they would get something that could help them do something down the road. Peter said they weren't working on a town plan where it's what everyone wants, they were trying to work on zoning. Peter said if he was in the village, he might find people were really against a home occupation or home business there. Chester didn't have a history of being business friendly but in the rural districts, they did. If they had a general statement, they were happy with the way things were and didn't want to see any of that, they may not want to see it in the village. They were talking about zoning in different districts, so an overall picture doesn't necessarily give them the information they need for different districts in different places. Barre wasn't sure he agreed with the statement that Chester hadn't been business friendly in the village and wondered why Peter would say that. Barre pointed out there was a bookstore and an inn and Airbnbs and all kinds of inns and little businesses. Peter said for industrial and business, it's always been a fight. He said with the Green Mountain Turnpike, people have fought whatever they've done on Elm Street. To small business, tourist friendly, they look good, but anyone who thought they would have a real business would find they thought they belonged in the Springfield Industrial Park. That's what the call was with 103 Auto, they could move to the business section of Springfield.

Hugh thought to Barre's point, one of the reasons to have the survey was to find out what people think to help guide what they do with the bylaws. If they agreed that was true, he thought it was important to know what part of the town the person lives in because they will say what's important to them and if they get some mindshare that the same things are important to people who live in the same area, they might steer that zone one way or another. He felt proximity was important to know if there was a way to do it. Cathy said data could always summarize data without regard to that answer, but they couldn't take general data and break it down if they didn't collect the other information. Hugh agreed.

Hugh asked about the question that referenced residential property owner, short-term renter, and if Tim would have put it in the category or thought maybe they should leave it out. Tim said yes. Hugh thought they should strike it. He liked are you a resident, landlord, or second homeowner, but no short or long term. Hugh said if he thought about commercial property owner, business owner, or residential renter, he was agreeable to that. Tim thought they would probably hear the least from the renter category. Cathy said they don't have their names or addresses in the town records except the voting list. She said they have 843 parcels that have declared a homestead meaning they live on the property, and they have 1892 parcels. They have 1,675 dwellings. There is no definitive field in the lister database that states how many dwelling units are in a parcel so that count is inferred from things like 24 apartments, 36 apartments. Hugh said the data would come in handy for the work Jason would do around housing availability. It would provide more of a picture of the number of parcels and where people may be living so it would give a notion of how much housing they may have.

Hugh asked if Tim agreed to add a proximity question. Tim's inclination was not to, but it wasn't about him and what the Commission thought made sense. He could see the advantage of it, and Cathy's point to be able to look at the data set without it switched in, and then with it switched in would be useful. Hugh wanted to see a proximity question. Tim said it would be: Do you live within the village area or rural area of Chester? He asked if that made sense. Peter said it would need to be broken down. There were 3 answers: village, the suburbs, or rural. They just had to figure out how to word the question. Tim suggested village/residential neighborhood. Cathy said it might work as village, residential, and rural. There was agreement. Tim asked if he should use an example because he could see people thinking they live in the village. Peter thought it could be worded "Does it take you more than 20 minutes to walk into the village?". Cathy thought where Route 11 met 103 was the edge of the village. Tim suggested village, residential area near village. Peter suggested adding such as Flamstead and Marcs Drive. Tim agreed to use an example. Barre suggested 1 mile from the center of town. Tim said that would include someone up on Dodge Road. Tim liked "in the village; residential near the village such as Flamstead Acres, Mountainview, Marcs Drive, or rural. There was agreement. Tim said he'd add it. There was also agreement to do top 5.

Peter suggested looking at things to group together like walking friendliness, bicycle friendliness, maintaining scenic views, open lands, and development ridgelines. He thought they were talking a walkable bicycle kind of a thing. He thought expanded shopping should be in a question with an increase walk in shopping. He thought walkability, bicycle and small-town feel should be all together. Noise levels and environmental were more of a process question. It was more what was suitable for an area. He suggested grouping the answers. Hugh wasn't going to assume that the

survey was as sophisticated as the ones like Survey Monkey. Peter agreed but thought the questions related to the same topic should be grouped together. Peter thought the reality of zoning was it needed to be relooked at every 5 years. Tim said at the same time, he thought they should look at the longest term they could and make adjustments. Peter said that was what the town plan did.

Cathy thought maybe the question about a clean and healthy environment, noise levels low, and maintaining scenic vistas into a long-term question because they would be longer term goals but most of the other things were short term. Tim suggested including preserving architecture and buildings to the group, along with small-town feel. Cathy agreed. Hugh thought that was a great way to break them down. As written, they were redundant but if broken down the way they were describing, made sense.

 Tim moved maintaining scenic vistas, preserving historic architecture and buildings, maintaining small town feel, keeping noise levels low, and clean and healthy environment into the next question which was over the long term. Hugh didn't have any passion about it either way but suggested keeping noise levels low into the question about what you were concerned about because it was a negative thing. He suggested putting it where they were supposed to rank the following by level of concern. Tim thought that was a good call and agreed to take noise levels out completely.

Bill Lindsay commented about availability of affordable housing. He thought everyone wanted affordable housing, but he was concerned about senior housing. He said if they spoke with some of the people in the community, and he didn't care how long they had been here, one of the older residents who qualified for residential property can no longer afford their property. The state likes to drive the train and he's going to be the caboose. They hear those comments from the state but they're not moving in the direction to provide affordable housing. He likes to call it long term housing. They need it for senior housing. Obviously, not all seniors are wealthy. Some have to sell their home to go on to the next stage in their life. They don't want to rake or shovel. He said they weren't addressing the number one problem that the state already tells them they have. They know that because the state would like to drive more tax money through income tax. The income tax level will probably be higher and a benefit to the state when these homes are sold but we're not providing that type of housing. They collect money for housing through the state, but they don't see any grant money. He asked if Chester had identified any land suitable for senior housing. He said he had commented previously about the Grand List, and it was like what was he talking about. He surveyed some of the housing they have, and it provides a greater tax dollar to buy the police car, a fire engine, and they're not looking like a planning board from what he could see, at that type of survey to produce the documentation to get the grants with property the town has identified for building some senior housing. He thought a lot of things made sense. If they had senior housing, they wouldn't have to worry too much about a lot of noise. There would not be party time at 10 at night. He thought some of the things were very good and would take care of themselves depending on the type of housing they had.

Tim appreciated the feedback and it sounded like Bill had read it and he said he had. Tim said the last page included assisted living facilities and independent living senior housing developments. What he picked up out of Bill's statement was they identify a place it could go. Bill thought that was a big thing. Tim said if they got an indication that it was important to a lot of residents, it would help inform. It was a great justification for why Tim and the other members wanted to do

the survey. It would inform that and make it a priority and then they would look at where a suitable place was for that type of development.

Bill thought if they talked with some of the elderly folks in town, they would realize it was one of their concerns. They would like to stay in Chester but where would they go as there was no place. Barre asked what the place behind NewsBank was and if it was considered senior housing. Tim said it was apartments. Barre asked how many apartments were there. Bill said he couldn't give him the number, but it was in the list. Cathy thought it was 36. Barre said he didn't want to be argumentative but it was a pretty big development for senior housing, so it wasn't like they didn't have any. Bill said Barre was missing his point. Bill said he didn't say they didn't have senior housing. Barre said that's what it sounded like to him. Bill said there was a need for senior housing and if you talked to some of the residents in that housing, they were local. Bill said the housing was open to people from other areas and not just to Chester residents. He was saying there was a need for senior housing, and it should be a specific question.

Tim and Hugh thanked Bill and thought it was a great idea. Barre mentioned some of the online surveys asked about the ranking of importance of their questions. Tim said it was an answer for each item to do it that way. Barre thought it was all fine, but his question was if it were easier that way, couldn't some of it be done that way. He had no criticism about any of it but wondered if it could help with the processing of the data. Tim thought it was a fantastic question. Tim wondered if they took each of the items and asked how important they were than each requires an answer rather than rating your top 5, makes the survey longer, and makes the data more granular. Peter didn't think they would get enough answers and the survey would die. Barre suggested they were grouped together. Tim said Peter and Barre both had valid points. If someone got a 6-page survey and delayed completing it, they would get fewer completed surveys. To Tim, it was more important to get as many surveys back as they could.

Hugh thought there was a balance that needed to be struck and maybe Jason had some feedback to share about what they have found regarding the surveys in general.

Tim thought Jason had worked with the Planning Commission in 2008 for the survey and designed it. It had 22 questions. Some had as many as a dozen choices. A lot of them were agree, disagree, or no opinion. The question: Should Chester encourage the following commercial or industrial activities? Agree, disagree, or no opinion. It included home business, small retail, professional offices, farmers market, restaurant, motels, inns, tourism, gas stations, manufacturing, agriculture, forestry, and other. Tim said that was one question to rank. He didn't know what had happened to the survey, but it had a lot of good data. Cathy asked if Tim knew how many responses they had gotten. Tim wasn't sure. Cathy had not investigated it but suggested they have an online option for the survey. Tim said that was also one of the questions he wanted to ask.

Preston added that if the questions were ranked 1 to 5 and someone put 5 for all of them, it wouldn't be helpful. So sometimes if you force them to make a choice, it will give more data. Preston liked what Tim had done and agreed that when a survey was too long, he just stops completing it. He pointed out under growth and conservation at the top of the second page that Tim had used the word surge which Preston thought was a loaded word. It was difficult to say they would want a surge. Preston said that going from 4 or 5 houses per year to 10 could be called a surge. He looked

through his records and he's already issued 5 permits for new houses and they're only halfway through the year, so they may hit 10 again. He thought it would be safer to use increase instead of surge. Tim said it was a good call.

Tim thought about 300 or 10% had responded to the last survey. Peter said Dick Jewitt had put a lot of time into it and he had conducted one where they assisted people in filling them out. He thought it was in 2008. Peter remembered them having tables and if you wanted more streetlights and sidewalks you went to a table and then the next table was talking about recreation. Someone was sitting at the tables asking the survey questions and filling them in. Tim asked how many people went and Peter didn't know. Barre thought that brought up a good point and wondered if they could have some ready for the 18th for people who attended the workshop. Tim thought it would be better for him to make a second draft and bring it to the Commission and make sure everyone was on the same page and possibly get a unanimous vote and then distribute it. As to the question of whether it made sense to do it online, Tim found where Scarborough, Maine had recently done a survey which they distributed online and by hardcopy and they looked at the data separately and considered the hardcopy data as verified and the online as unverified because they couldn't confirm who those people were or where they were located. The concern was you may get more data but was it clean data, and they didn't think so. Cathy agreed that you could stuff the ballot box in an online survey. Tim said there were ways an IP address could only fill it out once, but if they used other devices, like their phone or tablet, it could be completed multiple times. He said it was also a whole other process to get it out and he wasn't the person to do that.

Barre suggested there was an online company that does surveys. From his perspective, what would be the motivation for anyone out of town to complete it and what would be the motivation for anyone in town to complete it 10 times? Barre said it was just information gathering and wasn't political and what would they do with it down the road anyway. Tim didn't want to discuss psychology, but he could see how someone might want to stuff the ballot box. Tim suggested former Chester residents could.

 Hugh thought there was probably more likelihood that you get skewed data online and there was no way to control duplication of surveys or people responding who shouldn't be, but by the same token, he agreed with Barre that he wouldn't be so concerned about it that he wouldn't do it. He would rather take the risk that maybe the data was more speculative from an online survey if they hadn't figured out how to lock it down. But he didn't want them trying to figure out how to conduct a successful online survey to get in the way of getting the survey done in paper form to be handed out or mailed because it may jam things up. Tim had never done a survey through Survey Monkey but understood them to be easy to do. Hugh said if they got the survey done the way they wanted it and an online version wasn't some mountain to climb, he would want to pursue it. Barre said if they did a press release that included a link to the survey in it, and someone was reading it on The Telegraph or Facebook and they clicked on the link and then boom, they're done, and you have it. It seemed like the thing to do. Tim said he would research it.

Hugh said they could either continue the topic and push anything they didn't address to another meeting, or they could put a brake on the topic, move on, and come back to it again. He was fine either way. Tim was fine with stopping because he had enough to work on. Tim asked if he got far enough on a second draft, would the Commission be okay with him running it by Hugh and then

forwarding it to Jason before their next meeting to get feedback. They were okay with it. Tim said that would be his goal.

Hugh thanked Tim for his work. It was agreed that the discussion was good and produced some good feedback.

Agenda Item 6, Legacy Use and Adaptive Reuse Adoption update

Cathy said the first step was called transmission. They had picked August 1st as the hearing date. She had written a notice for it and sent it to the nine abutting towns and the ACCD. She needed to send it to Regional Planning. She needed to physically post the notice at Town Hall, the post office, Chester Hardware, and Smitty's. They would need to decide what else they wanted to do or say, and she would send the other members the reporting form. She needed to spend some time thinking about how to present that information and how she wanted to disseminate it. Hugh asked if it was for the reporting form and Cathy said the reporting form was required to do all of it but for the hearing. She said Peter was fond of saying, and she thought it was a good way to look at it, that adaptive reuse was about buildings and legacy use was about the parcel and whatever use it may have had in the past. Legacy use does not apply to the building directly. Hugh said there was work to be done prior to the August 1st hearing and the story that would be told at the public hearing still need to be developed. Cathy and Peter would work on developing the story. Cathy said it was town wide and was different than when they did the Village Green where she walked around to almost everyone on the Green and told them what they were considering.

Hugh said for him personally, he agreed they needed to put the story together in terms that are easy for people to understand and with not a lot of technical bylaw lingo. If there was any way they could put it together and have time for the Planning Commission to look at it before the August 1st hearing, that's what they should be targeting. The next meeting would be completely consumed with the workshop so if there was something they needed to review.

Peter said it spoke for itself and didn't think there were a lot of different things to say. He had presented it to the Chester Business Community and to the Development Review Board. He thought it was well defined. The Chester Business Community would probably not meet again until September because of the busy summer. Hugh asked who would present at the August 1st hearing. Peter and Cathy would split the presentation. Hugh said if there was something they thought the Planning Commission needed to look at prior to the meeting so they were all on the same page, to let them know. Peter said if they weren't all on the same page and if there was something they wanted to change, they should discuss it now. Hugh thought the only thing that would change was the messaging. Peter said they would just read it out loud and then they would just have to answer the questions. Tim suggested a summary and the others said it wasn't that long of a document. Tim thought the definitions were crucial such as the difference between a parcel and a building and what applied to where. He suggested they start with that and give an overview of what's there and ask the audience if they wanted it read out loud. Tim didn't think most people would want it read if they had a copy of it. Barre wanted to be brought up to speed and asked where it was being presented and to whom. Cathy said it needed to be a public hearing and noticed 15 days in advance. Hugh said they were taking the August 1st Planning Commission meeting and turning it into a public hearing for legacy use. Barre asked if they would all sit there for that and

then the meeting would be held after. Hugh said they hadn't discussed having anything else on the agenda, but they could if the hearing was first and went quickly. Tim thought that was a good plan and noted the Selectboard did it frequently. Cathy added that the Selectboard had done it for the Village Green hearing but didn't know if Barre had been part of it. Peter noted it had been two separate meetings and Tim added that it would need to be warned as two separate meetings. Barre asked if they would warn it or if they would try to get more information out for it and if they were going to try to do more to get more people there. Cathy said she needed to post it physically in several places in town and didn't know if it was worth trying to do anything more such as sending out a postcard. It would also be on the website. Hugh said it was a good question and asked if it was something they would want to put in The Telegraph with a press release to try to get people to come to participate. Cathy thought a press release could make sense. Tim said they could synopsize what they would say publicly and send it to The Telegraph. Barre wasn't sure where they were headed with all of it. He asked if they had done press releases before when they did charts and graphs. Tim wasn't sure. Barre said most of the time they just do their regular thing and hope people see it. Tim said they had promoted the workshops. Barre added it could be something they would be lucky to see 10 or 15 people at because nobody cares since it's legacy use and buildings. Peter had discussed it with the Chester Business Coalition, and they were on board with it. Barre suggested emailing them about the workshop.

Cathy thought they should write a story and send to The Chester Telegraph as a press release, ask Steve Mancuso if they could send it to the CBC members, and also send it to The Vermont Journal as a press release. Hugh asked Cathy before she sent the press release if she would send it to the other Planning Commission members for review. She agreed. She said they would meet on the 18th and could get feedback then since they couldn't do it through emails. The press release wouldn't need to be ready until that Friday. The notice would need to go out separately.

Hugh said they would plan to have feedback on the press release at the July 18th meeting.

Barre thought they could email regarding the press release since decisions weren't being made but Tim and Hugh weren't clear about that.

Agenda Item 7, Review Draft Short Term Rental Ordinance

Hugh said they had fundamentally agreed at the last meeting to take a crawl, walk, run approach and not try to not highly regulate anything having to do with short-term rentals as a first step. The most important thing was to try to get their arms around what short-term rentals looked like in Chester so they could be better informed and make sure there was some level of accountability from a health and safety point of view and it was the spirit of what Preston had started with his draft. Hugh turned the meeting over to Preston.

Preston said they had previously discussed that they could go by the Zoning Bylaw route or the Selectboard Ordinance route. The legislature did, within the last 3 or 4 years, add it. Section VSA 2291 subsection 29 specifically says towns can regulate short-term rentals. Going the Selectboard ordinance route is a lot more nimble, easier to adopt and change and seemed to him as the better route to go. Section 1 authority cited the statute he mentioned. Section 2 purpose protecting health, safety, welfare, and convenience of the town was what was repeated in the statute and Preston

added to preserve residents' rights to quiet enjoyment of their homes and properties and to ensure the safety of the occupants of short-term rentals. Under the definitions, the short-term rental definition was the one out of statute. The problem was The Fullerton and The Inn Victoria also advertise on Airbnb because they have to. He was just saying anything that had a permit under the zoning bylaws, a tourist lodging is not covered by this bylaw. Getting to Section 4, which had modified the criteria used in Killington to meet Chester's needs: a) an annual short-term rental registration; b) dwelling capacity is 2 persons per bedroom plus a bonus of an extra 2; c) if you have an occupancy of 8 or less, you can self-certify, or if more than 8, you need to meet additional requirements which include some indication there is a septic permit indicating it can handle more than 8, an inspection report from the Division of Fire and Safety, and you meet the posting requirements which are pretty basic, the educational materials, and proof of liability; d), e), f) and g) are the boiler plate of saying if you don't do these things, they have the right to say they're in violation. Section 5 covered fees of \$300 and the Selectboard from time to time can modify the fee and establish and adopt other fees if they need to. Enforcement was a boiler plate: the statute allows up to \$800 per day for each violation and the administrator, police officer, zoning administrator, and town manager can all enforce the bylaw. Preston included penalties which he had copied from Woodstock. There are penalties if you're written a municipal ticket but waiver fees if you agree to voluntarily pay, they don't have to go to judicial bureau with a ticket. He thought it was an extra tool that was not unreasonable and one he wished he had for the regular zoning bylaw and something they may want to consider. On the last page, it stated they were authorized to go to court if necessary. Severability is boilerplate and the effective date is 60 days after adoption. Once they were comfortable with it, it would not require the Planning Commission to hold a hearing but only recommend it to the Selectboard. They would need to write down for the Selectboard why it was needed. Preston did not have a pulse on what the Selectboard would think of it. He couldn't remember what they had discussed at the last meeting but he had been saying he didn't think Chester's short-term rental problem was that big of a problem but when Cathy was going through then numbers when you look at the number of residences and then subtract out apartments and mobile homes, and then you figure out the current number of 79, which Host Compliance identified, that was 8% which was kind of a big number, which was increasing. He thought it was getting to be a little bit of a problem. Cathy added that Burlington has 1% of their housing stock used for short-term rentals and they feel that's a problem. Barre asked if there was anything to differentiate from people doing the original Airbnb which was living in their house and renting out a room compared to people who own their house but don't live there and renting out the entire house. Tim added or an apartment, which Barre said was a good point. Barre said they seemed to be so concerned about the public knowing everything they're doing. He wondered if they could create a town that has more places to eat which was something they could do that would affect a lot of people. He asked what they were doing to communicate with the people it would affect. He would have a hard time running it before the Selectboard without allowing all the people in the Airbnb business to come with their torches and pitchforks, if they were going to do that because he felt like now, they were trying to do something without making sure everyone knows about it. Preston said Barre was right that he hadn't distinguished any of those things because he thought from the last meeting, they weren't going to, but it was open. He referred to his Killington experience and the vast number of their short-term rentals were people who didn't live in town and weren't voters. The Selectboard had adopted the bylaw change and there was a petition to bring it to popular vote and Killington has 800 short-term rental units which is the most in the state but for the people who live in town, they wanted it. Barre said they were a little bit on

1

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38 39

40

41 42

43

44 45

46

a fence because they were affected by the ski areas but weren't a ski area. His concern was if some people were doing it and receiving supplemental income but weren't taking up housing because of the septic rules, they wouldn't pass because their house wasn't built to hold that many people, they would remove that many people from the market right away and cause them hardship. He wasn't sure how he would feel about that. Obviously, their septic systems should work and are supposed to work for the people and should. Peter told Barre they were self-certifying for 8 or less occupants. So, unless they have a huge house, they're pretty much self-certified. They will need to pay the fee, but it would give them a solid number because they would pay the headhunters to track it down. Peter was pretty connected to what happens in Smokeshire and the majority of Airbnb people are second homeowners, and he thought the majority were doing it on their homeowners' insurance. They will gather the data and would know what the data is. Barre asked if they would automatically boot people because they don't meet the criteria. Peter said they would have to come up with the fee and insurance.

With respect to the communication aspect, Hugh was thinking about it slightly differently. If the Selectboard doesn't support the ordinance, it will stop immediately. Hugh wanted to take their temperature and see if they would be supportive. Barre confirmed that it all would come out on the Selectboard's watch.

Peter asked when a dwelling was called out and there was a parcel with more than one dwelling and each one was 8 or less, would it skate by that it didn't require enforcement. He asked Preston how he would deal with that. Preston said when he worked in Killington, people were trying every way they could. If they were trying to rent 2 Airbnbs in 1 building, they would tell them they needed to go to the Division of Fire Safety because they require a firewall between them which was a double sheet rocked wall. Preston said there were some unhappy people in Killington. Hugh said they would be able to use the data to know if there were multiple properties on a parcel being used for Airbnbs. They would have the data and could decide. Peter saw it as an ordinance and his brain sees it as a problem and he questioned how it would be addressed. Preston said they could write it in there or treat it administratively. He pointed out if someone rented the building with 16 occupants, the state would require a sprinkler system but if they had 2 units of 8, if they had a fire wall between them, they wouldn't need a sprinkler system. There was some incentive to do it that way.

Hugh said the way it was written, if you looked at how many people in Chester are running a short-term rental where they are advertising 10, 12, or 14 desks, they would incur more expenses and would need to prove they had everything in order. If they were 8 or less, they would sign a form saying they agree they are complying. Preston said in Killington, a lot of people that had been 10 or 12 just went to 8. Tim asked why 8 and why it became the cutoff. Preston didn't know why the Division of Fire Safety had picked 8. Cathy said he had talked about 3 bedrooms, which was a standard size, plus 2. Preston wanted to be clear that the Vermont Division of Fire Safety had their own rules and if you went on their website, and knew where to look, it says exactly what the bylaw says. The self-certification form already exists, and they wouldn't need to make it. Preston said Killington had thought 3 bedroom and then a pull-out couch to be nice, happened to fit the state's cutoff for 8. Peter thought it made sense. He thought it read well and looked appliable. Preston has the powers to issue a municipal ticket and has already signed up with the court system and has his ticket book. He has not written a ticket yet, something he didn't take lightly, as there are a lot of

ways a clever lawyer can have it dismissed. The Chester Police have offered to help him write tickets. Preston added if he ever wrote one, he would likely have an officer with him so he wouldn't be against them having the power to write the ticket.

3 4 5

1

2

Hugh had seen a requirement for non-local residents to identify a local property manager. A rental is owned by someone who lives 8 hours away, so if something happens, shouldn't there be a local property manager. Barre thought these people probably had to do that anyway.

7 8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41 42

43

44 45

46

6

Preston had the benefit of knowing what the application form Killington used was, but he thought it could be put in there. They were very clear they wanted a 24-hour contact number and email of the management entity or owner. Barre thought most of the people who do it, already have someone. There are people that clean and mow, but some people have nobody to manage the property. Preston would add it. Hugh had looked for the self-certification form and finally found it from some other town. Preston said someone could go on the Division of Fire Safety site and find it there, but it didn't jump right out. Cathy asked if they could share the link in the ordinance. Preston said if they went with someone like Host Compliance, they are done with paper, and it would all be online anyway. But yes, you could push a button, and it was a fillable PDF, and you could send it. He thought the bylaw was sufficient to simply say the form was required by the statute. Cathy confirmed they would have a printout of the form when it was presented to the Selectboard. Hugh could modify the application form Killington had even though they may not use the paper version and then have the other attachments. Barre asked if it went hand in hand with the company overseeing everything or was it two separate things and since they didn't have a budget and couldn't do financials, would the Selectboard also have to do that with a recommendation from the Planning Commission. Preston answered while they are two separate things, he didn't think he had the capacity or ability to carry it out himself, so he expected they would use the firm. Chester has an old-fashioned calendar year where the budget starts on January 1st so they could kick it off in the fall and not engage the company until after January 1st with the hope that April 30th would start the registration date and income will come in so by the end of the fiscal year, they will have received the 800 x \$300. Barre's thought that maybe the sales guys had done a good job on them, and he wondered if they had to work together or could the ordinance be put in place and not go through the work of doing all that other stuff. He wondered what the point of it was. Hugh said without the software they couldn't figure out where the people were. Preston said having worked with it, they identify who the short-term rentals are, they use Chester's letterhead and will contact them, and they also monitor it every other week and they'll send them a letter if they are advertising for more people than allowed. Barre was thinking of hardship and if their septic system didn't pass, and the fire inspector would come into their house, and they must pay \$300 and was concerned they would affect people's lives and now putting in a monitoring service to make sure they comply. It was a big deal and probably the biggest thing they've talked about doing. He wasn't against it but wanted them to realize the implications. Preston said they had people in Killington who if they had a bedroom in the basement, were cutting a hole in the foundation with the cement saw so they could put another door in. Peter mentioned these things were driving the fire chief in Ludlow crazy. Barre said it would be a big deal. Hugh said it would only be a big deal for people who were renting to more than 8 guests. Barre said most families were families of 4, so if you have 3 kids in 1 family and 2 in the other, you're at 9 so you would either have to lie or leave a kid at home. Peter said it wasn't so much that but what you were advertising at.

1 2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

Hugh asked Preston what the process was to get it from the Planning Commission to the Selectboard. Preston said he only took down one change which was to specify some of the questions that would be required in the application form. Preston said when he brough it back to the Selectboard, he could bring copies of things that would be reasonable attachments to bring to the Selectboard and then it would be on the Selectboard agenda. Preston suggested they should have a 1-page summary for the Selectboard of why they want it as he felt every member would probably not jump up and down for it. Tim asked if Preston would write the summary or if they should do it as the commission. Hugh would be happy to draft it. Preston thought he would do it but if Hugh was feeling motivated. Preston was thinking health and safety but also thought Steve Mancuso had a good point about it being good data for the town. Peter suggested to put it on the agenda for August 1st to review the summary.

12 13 14

Agenda Item 8, PC Roundtable

15 16

17

Normally when they ran out of time, Hugh would advocate to cut the Roundtable but there were things in the Roundtable he thought they could address quickly so he was going to keep the agenda item and thought they could keep it brief.

18 19 20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

Barre delivered a bear to one of his art collectors and his business is affordable housing. He works in Connecticut, Massachusetts, and New York and has nothing in Vermont yet. He lives in Ludlow off Bixby Road and Barre has known him for years and is one of his best art collectors. Barre jokingly asked how much cash reserve he has to have in comparison of Barre's business. The project he was currently working on was \$56 million. He offered to answer questions for the Commission, so they have a resource of someone in that business. He was willing to come talk to them. He is knowledgeable and interested in the area and appears excited to get involved. Hugh said it tied back to one of the workshops of the 4 to get people in the construction trades to talk to them. If it turned out they could loop it back to Jason, it could be a fit.

28 29 30

31

32 33

34

Hugh said there was a question a few meetings back if the work Jason was going to do was currently in the Vermont Climate action plan. Jason's response was it wasn't specifically called out so they weren't going to focus heavily on it as part of the work they were going to do, so he did list a handful of things they may want to think about, so he obviously had an awareness of things they may want to consider when updating the bylaws. Hugh would forward the memo to the Commission.

35 36 37

Preston said Sugar Bob's had signed a purchase of sale.

38 39

Agenda Item 9, Adjournment

40 41

42

Tim moved to adjourn the meeting and Peter seconded the motion. A vote was taken, and it passed unanimously. The meeting was adjourned at 8:20 p.m.