1	TOWN OF CHESTER
2	PLANNING COMMISSION
3	May 1, 2023, Minutes
4	
5	Commission Members Present: Cathy Hasbrouck, Barre Pinske, John Cummings, and Hugh
6 7	Quinn at Town Hall; and Tim Roper via Zoom.
8	Staff Present: Preston Bristow, Zoning Administrator/Town Planner, at Town Hall; and Susan
9	Bailey, Recording Secretary, via Zoom.
10	
11 12	<b>Citizens Present:</b> Bill Lindsay, Peter Hudkins, and Shawn Cunningham at Town Hall; Jason Rasmussen of MARC and Steve Mancuso via Zoom.
13	
14	Call to Order
15	Chair Hugh Quinn called the meeting to order at 6:40 p.m. Hugh welcomed Barre and Tim as
16 17	returning members and John Cummings as a new member.
18	returning memoers and John Cummings as a new memoer.
19	Decisions Made: Hugh Quinn as Chair, and Tim Roper as Vice Chair.
20	- record control congression and control contr
21	Action Taken: With the changes to the map and language, Hugh set the expectation that the next
22	review would be the last so they could complete the task.
23 24	Agenda Item 1, Annual PC Reorganization
25	
26	Tim moved and Cathy seconded a motion to nominate Hugh as Chair. There were no other
27	nominations. The motion carried unanimously.
28	
29	Hugh moved and Barre seconded a motion nominating Tim as Vice Chair. There were no other
30	nominations. The motion carried unanimously.
31	D ' D' 1A 1D 1 CD 1
32	Review, Discuss, and Amend Rules of Procedure:
33 34	Hugh made changes because of observations to things they were already doing or not doing. Hugh
35	didn't want to set a time limit on agenda items because he felt it limited good discussion. He also
36	wanted to strike "and recording secretary" for things being added to the agenda. Cathy suggested
37	if they didn't want to remove the time limit, they could change the time limit easily and wondered
38	if there was a way in a meeting to start a time limit. Hugh's rationale was it felt like an extra
39	amount of work having to set a time limit. He understood the amount of time they wanted to spend
40	in meetings and managed it by tying off agenda items so they could move forward. He was in favor
41	of striking the time limit but if others wanted to keep it, he suggested it be reworded so it didn't
42	require him to set a specific time limit on each item. Barre was agreeable to striking them because
43	it provided flexibility. Tim thought the changes made sense and noted the Chair runs the meeting,

so it wouldn't stop the Chair from moving to the next topic. John agreed.

44 45 Hugh addressed the order of things and liked the flexibility to change the order of items on the agenda. Hugh was removing the ability to add items because it wasn't appropriate to add something to the agenda due to the Open Meeting Law since it wouldn't be properly warned. Cathy asked if an item could still be tabled, and it could. Barre thought changing the order would allow people to leave if they were only there for a specific item. Tim and Barre agreed it made sense.

Under public participation, Hugh decided to strike extending time for a public comment on an item because he didn't think it had ever been necessary, but he was fine to leave it. Barre didn't see it as a problem given the former Chair and current Chair, but he saw it providing an opportunity to do it if needed and that's why it was in there. Barre thought for a reasonable Chair, there wouldn't be a problem, but if they weren't, it could be, so he preferred to leave it in. Cathy liked the statement that the Chair may limit the time allotted for public comment and thought they may be headed for some intense discussions and should have something in place to limit them. She said if a Chair was overextending their power, they could be removed. Hugh was okay with leaving it in. Barre wondered if he was reading it backwards. Hugh said if there was a public comment on a particular item, he had the ability to end public comments and move on. But by a majority vote, they could increase the public comment time. Barre thought in this case, the Board was greater than the Chair. Tim didn't think it was hurting anything to leave it in. John agreed.

Hugh made the update to keep the changes except the one under item 2 on page 3. Hugh moved to adopt the Rules of Procedure, as amended, and Cathy seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously. The members will sign a clean copy of the Rules at the next meeting.

## Agenda Item 2, Review and Approve Minutes from April 17, 2023, meeting

Hugh moved and Tim seconded a motion to approve the April 17, 2023 minutes. There were no changes. The minutes were approved as written. The motion carried with Tim abstaining because he wasn't at that meeting.

## Agenda Item 3, Citizen Comments

Steve Mancuso commented on the evolution of the Planning Commission over the past few years. They had taken a document that was agenda driven and business killing and Grand List squashing and turned it into something that allows everyone to set up shop in Chester and an equal opportunity for the brass ring. Steve didn't think the public was aware of their work or the economic impact it would have. He asked them to carry on and thanked them for their efforts.

# Agenda Item 4, Housing Commission Discussion – Julie Hance

Julie is working to establish a housing commission for the town, which the Selectboard had given permission for. She and Preston agree a commission is needed by Chester instead of a committee. Julie will present it to the Selectboard but wanted the Planning Commission to hear it from her first. The Housing Commission will work closely with the Planning Commission regarding regulation of housing in town. The Commission would identify the housing needs by gathering data and possibly conducting an additional study if needed. Julie understood the Planning Commission had started much of the work already and she knew Peter Hudkins had also done

work on identifying where potential housing could go. Now is the time because by end of '23 or the beginning of '24, she would be starting the work with the engineers on the design of the sewer plant upgrade. She saw the commission as an ongoing initiative. The housing issue isn't being solved in one legislative session and isn't going away. She wants a commission of people with experience. The town has land and assets, and they need to figure out how to partner with a developer and make it attractive. It would be a political discussion because the buy-in of the community would be necessary if they use a town asset. She will present to the Selectboard on May 17<sup>th</sup> where they will see a job description and a statement. They are borrowing a little of what the Village of Essex Junction has done. If the board approves, Julie will advertise for 7 to 9 people. She would like representation from the Planning Commission on the Housing Commission and will present to the board that 1 of the 7 to 9 members should be from the Planning Commission and she would also like a member of the Regional Planning Commission, who are a valuable resource. She asked the Planning Commission to think about who that should be.

13 14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32 33

34

35

36 37

38 39

40

41 42

43

44 45

46

1

2

3 4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

John thought it was a great idea and liked the fact that she was using someone successful like Essex Junction. John asked what the Selectboard thought about it. Julie said when they approved it, they didn't approve it at the commission level but to start the discussion and bring someone in. She didn't expect to have an issue. Barre asked if the difference between a committee and commission was member appointments and the open meeting law. Julie said it was the same. A commission had more autonomy and authority and would not answer directly to the Selectboard, like the Planning Commission. Barre wondered if a Selectboard member would be on the Commission. She didn't expect that, as it was a commission and would be viewed the same as the DRB and the Planning Commission. It wasn't a subcommittee of the Selectboard but a standalone commission. Barre thought it sounded like a great idea and had recently visited the building being constructed in Bellows Falls and thought that was the goal here regarding funding for housing. Hugh wondered what the timeline was for establishing the commission. Julie will put out an advertisement for interested people after the meeting on the 17<sup>th</sup> and hoped to have the commission formed by mid-July, but possibly as late as September. Hugh questioned how they would reconcile when the Housing Commission and Planning Commission couldn't agree on something. Hugh also wondered if a member from the Planning Commission and/or Preston would be involved in the interviewing process. Preston will be heavily involved as Town Planner. Preston and Julie will attend the Housing Commission meetings. Preston was pleased they would have this commission and said they could make recommendations about zoning to Planning who would decide whether to make the recommendations to the Selectboard by statute. Hugh said since Julie and Preston weren't overly worried about a conflict, he wasn't. Julie said while there was some overlap in their roles, they were distinctly defined. Preston was envisioning a Housing Commission that puts feet on the ground. The owner of the Adams Funeral House came to him and asked about what was available to build affordable housing. Having a commission to work with them would be music to their ears. Tim asked if the Housing Commission voted to make a change or proposal, would it go directly to the Selectboard or Planning and then the Selectboard. Julie said Planning and then the Julie said they do not have authority over bylaws and was strictly Planning Commission to the Selectboard by statute. Tim said if the issue was affordable housing, it would not need to come through the Planning Commission if there was an opportunity where they could work with the developers and Julie agreed it wouldn't need to. Tim was concerned that historically, it was an issue to get people to fill the volunteer positions at the town level and wondered if Julie anticipated a challenge filling seats. She already has 7 people who are interested. Tim noted Steve Mancuso had also raised his hand to volunteer. Julie said they are looking for people with a broad spectrum of skills in housing, including a developer or finance person, and people who are familiar with this process more than someone who is only interested. If they are unable to fill those spots with experienced people, they will need to educate the commission. Julie is asking that they be a Chester resident except for the Regional Planning Commission member and a member from the Windham & Windsor Housing Trust. They could require only most of the Commission to be Chester residents. Barre said the reality was they didn't have many full-time residents, so it could be difficult to fill positions with talent and suggested going with most residents rather than all needing to be residents. Barre wondered if it would be a conflict for a commission member to also be a housing grant recipient. Julie said if a developer was on the housing commission who wanted to develop something in one of the areas, it could be a conflict. Barre thought people who lived just past the town's borders should qualify as they were part of the community and hoped they had the talent to pull it off. Julie indicated that if the people who had already approached her applied, it would not be an issue. Cathy asked if housing commissions were addressed in the Vermont statute. Julie was almost certain it was. Preston could check. He noted housing authorities had power. There was a housing toolbox with information from agencies who discuss housing commissions.

1

2

3 4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38 39

40

41 42

43

44 45

46

#### Agenda Item 5, Continue Discussion on Village Center and Neighborhood Districts.

Hugh noted they had taken Open Space as a dedicated new district moving forward on its own schedule in terms of public hearings and adoption. As a commission, they are looking at the Village Center and Neighborhood districts. In discussions with Jason and Preston, they are looking to strategy which calls for doing the work for those districts but packages them as one unit to go through the hearing and adoption process. That would mean they will complete the work on all the non-rural districts as a package and when it's ready, take it through the Planning Commission public hearing followed by the Selectboard public hearing process. They are looking at Village Center and Neighborhood now but once those are where they need to be, they will set them aside and move onto another district and work through them until the entire package is ready for public hearing. Hugh asked if anyone had feedback. With respect to Neighborhood and Village Center, Barre thought some of what they were doing had impetus due to the housing issue by making smaller lots and boundaries. Barre wanted to know their timeframe and if they were changing the plan, would they complete it. He wondered if it was worth putting it forward when nobody shows up to a public hearing. Hugh said they were all great questions and had considered the same things speaking with Preston and Jason. Hugh said every time they push a package through, they lose almost 3 months between their hearing and the Selectboard hearing. Hugh said if their goal is to shoot for a target date to get these and the non-rural districts adopted through the public hearing process by the end of the year, they need to make bigger chunks to meet the deadline. Hugh, Preston, and Jason were working on a revised schedule, and he hoped to get them adopted by the end of the year and the lion's share of the work for rural districts under way and almost finished by the end of the year. Hugh thought if there was a concerted effort to get the non-rural districts buttoned up and everyone was onboard, they could finish before the grant runs out by the end of the year. It sounded good to Barre, who had a lot of faith in Preston and Jason. Barre thought if it didn't happen, he would rather bail on some of it to finish because it is important. If they weren't getting it done, he wanted to see what they had completed run through. Tim thought by slowing down the approval process and chunking it together, it would go faster. Hugh thought it would

position them with a higher likelihood of getting the non-rural districts done and adopted before the end of the year. John thought it was a great idea.

Hugh said there had been updates to the maps making them easier to read with some additional content. The language changes were minimal and most were in the dimensional standards. Jason's document had the proposal and Hugh attached the as-is into the table so it could be compared on a single page. It included the dimensional standard changes.

Jason said there weren't a lot of changes. In the Village Center District, Section 2.3, there were minimal changes regarding use. Home occupation was already a permitted use but clearer. They added 3 to 4 multi-unit buildings under the permitted use category, probably the biggest change. 5 or more units remained under the conditional use process. Jason asked if there were any changes that they wanted to see in the Village Center. Preston said there was a Senate Bill proposing 5 units by administrative approval, but it may not pass. Building and construction trades had been removed in this district, which had been a conditional use in all districts. Tim could see it being misinterpreted they couldn't they run their building or construction trade out of their home, but they still could under home occupation. Preston said they could make it clearer. Tim didn't think it needed clarification if they were all on the same page. Cathy thought the biggest difference between the building or construction trade and home occupation was the storing of materials outside and used keeping them in a barn as an example. Preston said an independent journeyman/carpenter could probably keep their tools in the barn but if they made concrete forms, they probably couldn't. Home business allows it with DRB review. If it is a home occupation, your stuff must be kept inside your building, but a home business has more latitude. Preston said the DRB can approve outdoor storage for a home business, but it wasn't the same as having 12 backhoes and big piles of sand. Tim noted there was also a limit on the number of employees under both home occupation and home business. Jason thanked Tim for pointing that out.

Jason said when he started thinking about the neighborhood designation, he was influenced by legislative conversation. He thought they may want 1/5 or ½ of an acre in the Neighborhood District and wondered how that would reflect Village Center which is currently about ½ an acre. Jason suggested for conversation's sake, allowing more density such as an 1/8 of an acre. There are several lots that already conform to that size. For housing, it would be cheaper to buy an 1/8 of an acre rather than ½ making it more affordable. He asked for their thoughts. Tim thought 1/8 of an acre was a terrific idea for reasons Jason mentioned: affordability, increasing density, and he could see a 4 unit building fitting there. Hugh was fine with it.

Peter said if they were to overlay it with sewer, there wouldn't be many places in the neighborhood with an 1/8 of an acre and sewer. Hugh said they were talking about Village Center and not Neighborhood. The 1/8 of an acre would only apply to the Village Center. Barre liked the idea and remembered mentioning the Monopoly houses on Cape Cod and how cool they were and thought this would provide an opportunity for interesting and affordable architecture. This would be different than creating a campground where there are a bunch of small sites because this would be a plot of land somewhere. Barre asked if Jason saw someone dividing a chunk of land into several 1/8 acre lots with a bunch of tiny houses or more like a campground. Jason said someone could subdivide with a regular house or a tiny house community. Barre suggested contacting the person who had attended a meeting in the past and promoted the tiny house idea with the Catholic Church.

Jason wasn't hearing anyone say they didn't like the 1/8 of an acre idea. Hugh said he was with Tim and thought initially they should be more aggressive, and they could adjust if the community pushed back. Hugh didn't see the risk.

3 4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

1 2

> Peter said they were on a 50-foot right-of-way for roads and Preston agreed. Peter said they would need to account for those rights-of-way making the available land use for 1/8 acre less. Hugh heard what Peter was saying and asked Jason his thoughts. Jason asked Peter to explain. Peter said in Chester, the landowner owns to the middle of the road. Peter said the 1/8-acre would work perfectly on an existing road but would not on a development where a road was built because they would have to make room for the road. Barre said if that was the case, nobody would do it. Peter said there would still be an 1/8-acre lot, but it would make the available space smaller. Preston said Peter was correct but in practice, they don't count that right-of-way, but they could clarify it, so it doesn't happen. Jason pointed out it was for the Village Center and many of the lots were small already, but they could go higher than 1/8-acre if necessary. Hugh wondered if they would tweak the bylaws to say 1/8-acre didn't include the road part of the lot. Preston has seen bylaws that state land under a road right-of-way does not count toward the lot size and would note that. Jason said the other dimensional standards have changed and 1/8-acre lot was roughly 50' x 110' and if there was more than a 5' or 10' setback on the side, it makes it more difficult to build a house. Cathy offered to get a listing of the in-town lots so they could sort them by size. Cathy said a lot of the bigger lots are on the hill by the cemetery but were not flat. Jason said, there were other limitations such as floodplain and they could dive deeper if they thought it would be helpful. If they were comfortable with it as it was, Jason was too. Preston said for the two years he has been there, there have been no subdivisions in the village and only in the rural areas, so he didn't see it as a big demand. Hugh and Barre were fine with it as a proposal.

242526

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

For the Neighborhood District, Jason said they took Brandy's map where she had zoning districts mapped out, they collectively liked. The Neighborhood District had parts of R-20 and R-40, and this was a combination of those two areas with some exceptions. Jason had started with the R-20 language, and this was the change of uses based on that and was the same in the Village Center in terms of uses. Hugh wondered in the densest areas where 3 or 4 units were a permitted use and as they moved out into the neighborhoods and most of what was in the neighborhoods was singlefamily and now a quad was allowed. Hugh was in favor of it but was asking how it was usually received. Hugh thought people may notice and wonder what was going on. Jason said often the neighbors don't like it which contributed to the housing problem. Jason thought if they could be clear about that they wanted 2-to-3-unit dwellings, people who lived there would know what they were asking for and it would make the permitting process smoother because they would go to Preston for their permit and not require a hearing. Jason thought it was a good change. Hugh was in favor of it for the same reasons and thought they should be more aggressive about what they were doing and if it was an issue, they would hear about it. Cathy said 3 or 4 apartments in a big old house and townhouses aren't received the same as apartments in a brick box. Jason hoped some existing big homes could be converted into units and not necessarily new construction.

41 42 43

44 45

46

Jason would consider alternatives for Class 1 and Class 2 names for the dimensional standards. Class 1 meant it was on water and sewer and Class 2 meant one or the other or all on site. Cathy suggested calling them Municipal and On-Site. For the proposed Neighborhood District, Class 1 or Municipal would be 1/5 of an acre or just under 9,000 square feet in size. On-Site would be

30,000 square feet or almost <sup>3</sup>/<sub>4</sub> of an acre, which was not a big change. The big change was 1/5 of an acre to allow for more housing. He asked for their thoughts. Hugh said he wasn't an expert on land use or current trends in planning and would defer to Jason and Preston to come up with something that was not unrealistic but would head in a planning direction that small communities are heading. Barre said the goal was to create opportunities for housing and it was obvious that was what they are working towards, and he was with them and supported their decision. Preston said it was the trend and where there is water and sewer is the place to develop. Preston noted it's expensive to put in water and sewer and to spread them out unnecessarily isn't good public policy. Preston said there was always the possibility that someone would build on 1/5 of an acre with a 10-foot setback and he would get to approve it and the neighbors may be shocked by the change. Preston suggested they consider where they live and if someone built with a 10-foot setback, would it bother them. Cathy said they have 50% coverage which would mean a 4,300-foot footprint. The driveway would not count as coverage, but a garage would. Barre said when he lived in Cape Cod, they created a commission with a bunch of rules that were mostly about aesthetics. Barre said by changing the zoning in Chester, they are creating opportunities for different kinds of architecture. Barre has noticed Chester has many small homes coming into town so they wouldn't seem out of place and thought what they were doing was for economic reasons and expected things to cost less in a few years, making this unnecessary. They need to find people willing to invest in the town. Preston noted the Village Green was very compact with a lot of charm. With the invention of the automobile, they wanted to spread things out and now the trend is returning to compact, which can have community charm. Barre hoped what got built would be architecturally interesting, such as the Monopoly houses. Jason said the experts say \(^1/4\) or 1/5 of an acre was necessary for housing to make financial sense. For context, ¼ of an acre was the Mountain View neighborhood. Hugh was okay with it. Hugh thought if they headed in this direction and were concerned with architecture, they had supplemental standards to create guardrails. Currently, Preston said he can approve a duplex and if it's more, they must go before the DRB. If a fourplex met the requirements, he approves it so that would be a change. Preston didn't know if it was in the Neighborhood District. Hugh read, "New development in this district shall be consistent with residential neighborhoods that are within walking distance of the Village." Preston said it was mostly meaningless. There were more substantive criteria for the Village, and they could extend it to the neighborhood if they wanted. Hugh was only looking at it as another card to play if needed. Cathy pointed out that it was always an option to turn something over to the DRB that was questionable, and Preston agreed. Jason said they could have maximum front setbacks if they wanted. They could establish a buildto line and other things if they were interested. Barre thought it was great to plan and be proactive but, in this case, they weren't somewhere where things were going crazy. He would just let it go and if things got crazy, address it. Cathy wanted to change, if possible, the supplemental standards for Neighborhood. She said they didn't relate to living in Neighborhood where there was a corner store or businesses that support residential uses. The word neighborhood brings up the idea of a place for people to live and have at-hand support like a grocery store or drug store. She wondered if they could do something with supplemental standards that elaborated on Neighborhood and for someone reading the bylaw to understand that the goal was for people to live and work there to some extent. Jason had a similar thought to Cathy's. With respect to the building orientation in Village, Tim wondered if they should include it in Neighborhood, so buildings orientate toward the front and since they were talking about four units, not be oriented toward parking lots. Tim had also noted to himself about the possibility of a maximum front setback and wondered if they should consider it for Neighborhood and if it would look out of place. Cathy suggested they also consider

1

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38 39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

solar orientation and building a house to get good solar gain. Tim loved that Cathy suggested it and the ridgeline could be east/west no matter which way the house is oriented on the lot providing exposure for solar. Ground mounted solar is something they may want to consider. Cathy wasn't sure there would be enough lot room for ground mounted solar. Tim said if they had a Certificate of Public Good for solar, the town couldn't control it. Peter suggested they consider a Class 1 standard for houses on an 1/8-acre lot and a Class 2 standard which had a much bigger lot and if the Class 2 standard would need to have the house aligned and face the street. There was a big difference between a bunch of small houses tied in and a bigger lot. Peter thought something on Mountain View may have the houses aligned differently versus doing things differently with a much bigger lot. Tim thought if 98% of the houses were oriented to the front of the lot and one was oriented 45 degrees, it would look out of place. Tim said there was one like that in Stone Village. Hugh thought people should be able to put their house on their lot oriented however they wanted, and it felt like they shouldn't be trying to restrict that, but he thought if he considered it longer, maybe he would have a different point of view. To Cathy's point, Hugh had checked the conditional uses list and a store could be put in a neighborhood. Hugh said they had handled it with conditional uses but wondered if they should do something more because they would not want someone to put in a huge retail establishment in what was considered a neighborhood. It felt like it made some sense to Hugh, but he didn't know what the language would look like to facilitate it. Jason thought they should explore it some if the group agreed. Barre didn't see a larger retail store happening, especially given the proximity of New Hampshire. Cathy said that was how Dollar General came to town and there was still a lot of flat land available. Tim could envision a great little corner store in a neighborhood, but would they want to open the door to something of a Dollar General scale and didn't think it would be welcomed. John agreed. Hugh thought they should craft something to set a tone that there couldn't be excessive retail in the neighborhood. Preston said the most common fix was setting a certain square footage that box stores wouldn't touch, such as a store couldn't be more than 8,000 square feet. Preston and Jason would discuss that and come back to the next meeting with something. Peter said there were strips on Route 103 and that could be a problem. Tim thought the main thoroughfares would be more suitable for mixed use and make neighborhoods friendly and walkable in a perfect world where someone wanted to build a store. Tim didn't think they could zone Main Street or Route 103 differently from the adjacent streets and thought it was complicated but if they could, it may have merit. Hugh suggested having Preston and Jason put something together limiting the size of retail. Hugh wondered what thoughts were regarding orientation and maximum setback. Barre has suggested in the past to craft encouraging language and then is told it doesn't have teeth so why bother but thought they could ask. Barre having lived in a highly regulated area in Cape Cod, felt like he lived in Disneyland being told what color he could paint his door. Barre noted they hadn't addressed aesthetics much and suggested they have encouraging language to get people to do the right thing. Cathy didn't want to legislate suburbia. John didn't see setback as a big deal but wouldn't want to be told how to set his house and appreciated freedom from restrictions like those in Cape Cod.

1

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39 40

41 42

43

44 45

46

Hugh wanted to see if there was consensus around the maps. Jason said they changed the designation of three parcels based on comments at the last meeting. Peter said there was the parcel behind the Pinnacle, that was the old gravel pit they had talked about at the last meeting that wasn't included. Jason didn't remember being asked to include it. Peter saw it as a good place for a development that wouldn't bother neighbors which was close to water and sewer. Peter saw it as a multi-house development near a playground that would be attractive to families. Hugh

understood what Peter was saying. Barre pointed out if it was in a neighborhood district, it would 1 have more density. Peter said it would have more density, be close to water and sewer, a flat 2 enough piece of land to build a neighborhood in, would not be visible from the main road, and 3 4 would have a playground for families. Peter said the piece across the road was a ridge and not very developable. Barre and Tim mentioned Mark Drive, but Peter said it had no water and sewer. 5 Water runs by there, but the lots wouldn't be able to be split. Preston thought building on the lot 6 by the Pinnacle that Peter was referring to would be a good idea. Preston said the town forest piece 7 next to the high school wasn't included on the map. Peter said it would be expensive to develop. 8 Barre asked if it was town land. Peter said the town land was by the high school and would be hard 9 to develop because of the topography. The piece Peter was referring to was by the Pinnacle and 10 privately owned. Barre asked if they would include the piece so they wouldn't have to go back and 11 change it later and Preston agreed. Preston said in theory, gravel pits are easy to develop but it was 12 kind of steep. Tim was in favor of including it because it was town land and one of the challenges 13 of developing was cost so if the town could partner with someone, it may work and wondered what 14 the harm would be in including it. 15

16 17

With the changes to the map and language, Hugh wanted to set expectation that the next review would be the last so they could complete the task.

18 19 20

## Agenda Item 6, PC Roundtable

21 22

Barre recalled the talk about the cell tower and the company said they could not be seen beyond 2 miles. Given the ridgeline, he thought they could be seen at least 10 miles away and wanted to point out that it wasn't true they couldn't be seen.

24 25 26

23

Hugh reminded everyone on Wednesday, May 3, they would be before the Selectboard for a public hearing on the administrative changes. Hugh and Preston would both attend. Hugh encouraged the others to also attend the meeting.

28 29 30

27

Cathy said there were 3 amendments, Neighborhood they were currently discussing, the Open Space change she had transmitted to 9 towns, Regional Planning and ACCD, and 15 letters to citizens that have property in the district, and the hearing would be on May 15<sup>th</sup>.

32 33

31

John said he would attend the hearing Wednesday.

34 35 36

Preston was dealing with difficulties of enforcement of the quarry.

37 38

Tim apologized for not attending in person, but he still wasn't feeling well.

39 40

# Agenda Item 7, Adjournment

41 42

Tim moved to adjourn, and Hugh seconded the motion. A vote was taken, and the motion carried.

The meeting was adjourned at 8:39 p.m. 43